

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE/ United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,225	09/18/2003	Arihiro Takeda	1117.68336	6264
7590 03/11/2004			EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive			DUONG, THOI V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
Chicago, IL	60606		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
; Office Action Summary		10/665,225		TAKEDA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Thoi V Duong	2871			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio			ress		
Period fo		,, 	are correspondence and			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 September 2003.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 13-16 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to o the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	•		
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Better the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>10/047,216</u> . In received in this National St	tage		
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	. (52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/665,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 and 18-33 were cancelled in this application which was filed on September 18, 2003. However, according to Election/Restrictions requirement for the application 10/047216, claim 17 should also be cancelled since this claim belongs to Species IV, claims 17-21, while the Applicant seemed to elect Species III, claims 13-16, in this application.

Priority

2. This application appears to be a division of Application No. 10/047216, filed January 14, 2002. A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth only that portion of the earlier disclosure which is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 10/665,225

Art Unit: 2871

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takeda et al. (Pub. No. US 2003/0202146A1).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As shown in Figs. 47 and 48, Takeda et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising:

a first substrate 311 having thereon a pixel electrode 318 and an active element TFT 316;

a second substrate 331 having thereon an opposed electrode 334; and a liquid crystal layer 329 interposed between said first and second substrates with said electrodes facing each other,

wherein a first orientation control element 319 (319a) extending in a nonparallel direction relative to an extending direction of an edge of said pixel electrode (vertical edge of the pixel electrode 318 in Fig. 47) and a second orientation control element

Art Unit: 2871

336a extending in a parallel direction relative to an extending direction of said edge are

provided on at least one of said first and second substrates, and

said first orientation control element 319a is larger than in width than said second

orientation control element 336a as shown in Fig. 52;

wherein said first orientation control element 319 (319a) is a slit formed in said

pixel electrode 318;

wherein said second orientation control element 336a is a protrusion formed on

said opposed electrode 334; and

wherein a dielectric anisotropy of said liquid crystal molecules of said liquid

crystal layer is negative (page 4, paragraph 49).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

02/22/2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800